

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/960,412	09/24/2001	Noboru Kageyama	32213M015	5634
759	90 08/22/2002			
Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Prop	-	g	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
1850 M Street, N Washington, DC			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
····			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 08/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
Office Action Summary	960412	Kageyama	er a
Onice Action Gammary	Examiner M.	Group Art Unit 7834	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence add	iress
Period for Reply	3		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILI	NG DATÉ
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	within the statutory minimapire SIX (6) MONTHS from	rum of thirty (30) days will be considered in the mailing date of this communication	d timely.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{7-9-02}{}$			
This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			∍d in
Disposition of Claims			
$\times Claim(s) 1 5-13 and 17-26$		is/are pending in the applic	cation.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from cons	
Claim(s) 21-26	P. 18. 4	is/are allowed.	
(Claim(s) 1, 6, 9-13 and 18		is/are rejected.	
Claim(s) 5, 7, 8, 17, 19 and 20		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)		•	r election
Application Papers		requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	□ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und	• ,,	` '	
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	e priority documents ha	ave been	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
□ received in this national stage application from the Intern			
*Certified copies not received:		·	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 Ir	nterview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		lotice of Informal Patent Application	on, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other	•
Office A	action Summary		_

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

6

Application/Control Number: 09/960,412

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1, 6, 9-12 and 18/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 as anticipated by Knecht,

Hatanaka or Japan (741). In applicants remarks it is stated that these references do not show

"projecting electrodes of conductive material". The examiner notes that the claims do not restrict
the electrode structure to being completely conductive. Thus it is fair to interpret e.g. #11a, #11b
and #1c, #1d combined of Hatanaka as a projecting, conductive electrode. In Japan (741) note
electrode #11 coated on frame #14 project beyond the circuit element.

Claims 13 under 18/13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as unpatentable over Hatanaka or Japan (052). Japan (052) teaches the device in fig. 1 with the cup shapes cap of fig. 3 an obvious option. Fig. 4 (e.g. #12) confirms that electrodes protrude beyond the electronic component. Hatanaka was discussed above.

Claims 5, 7, 8, 17, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 21-26 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/960,412

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

MANN U. BUDD / PHIMBRY EXAMINER ART UNIT 212